(2)

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
MAR 18 2005
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 04-796

In The

# Supreme Court of the United States

ROQUE R. MORALES, pro se,

*Petitioner,*

v.

KEYSTONE DEVELOPMENT CO. LLC,
a Colorado Limited Liability Company, NAHP, LLC,
a Colorado Limited Liability Company and
Greg McIlvain, an individual,

*Respondents.*

**On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari**
**To The Colorado Court Of Appeals**

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

ROQUE R. MORALES, pro se
1003 Madison
Denver, CO 80206
Ph.: 303-322-1751, 970-887-0277

## PETITION FOR REHEARING

The petitioner, Roque R. Morales, petitions the Supreme Court for a rehearing of Case No. 04-796 as provided by Rule 44 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States.

As grounds for this appeal the petitioner asks the Supreme Court to consider and to be aware of the full spectrum of this case.

The only evidence presented by the respondents and the only evidence that the District Court Judge based his summary judgment on is off point, has no relevancy and does not apply to the case. The citations (see Appendix H and Appendix I of Petition For Writ Of Certiorari) used by both the respondents and the District Court Judge clearly show this. The claims of the effects of these citations and the 'doctrines' involved in the citations are known to be false by any common person.

The agreement of the respondents and the judge on this obvious error more than suggests a common goal. This goal seems to be the same goal as in many property disputes in Grand County. These cases end with the same actions and the same results.

The petitioner and many victims of the judicial system would like the Supreme Court to be made aware of the situation in Grand County that has devastated the lives, stolen property and destroyed the livelihood of many citizens in Grand County. People as the respondents cannot violate statute law as in this case without the help of a judge to misdirect and destroy land dispute cases.

This petition for rehearing is filed on the behalf of and at the request of citizens of Grand County who are victims

of this judicial system. The effects of this decision denying the Petition For Writ Of Certiorari are devastating to the land owners in Grand County. In the short time since the decision on this case, there are indications it has legitimized these actions and gives public officials the legal right to take advantage of landowners, in particular the old. Public officials now have a case decided by the Supreme Court to support their actions and legally rape and steal their property.

The respondents have not provided any legal evidence justifying the stealing of my land. Statute law provides protection in this situation. The Constitution of the United States, Article V provides for due process of the law in deciding such cases. The judicial system has deprived me of both. The question in this case is a boundary defined by a legal sales contract. The facts are that the judicial system did not abide by statute law, case law and the rights provided by the Constitution of the United States. All of this applies in Grand County. The judge's reply to me was, "That is the way its done in Grand County".

Throughout this case I have been left out of the court-attorney communications loop, even when there was a "certificate of mailing". I do not know if the respondents have responded to the Petition For Writ Of Certiorari and explained their evidence presented in this case. Such a response is due from both the respondents and the judge issuing the summary judgment. As in many cases in Grand County the direction and the goals of both the respondents and the judge seem to be the same. In similar cases this is a big question with the citizens of Grand County. Everyone deserves an answer.

We are not attorneys and do not have the power to investigate, but there is much evidence and under the

right circumstances there are many witnesses to substantiate this as a true accusation. We are at a dead end, and some have already resorted to violence. It can be noted that I had an attorney at the start of my case. The attorney disappeared, abandoned his office and destroyed the case. This is also a common occurrence in Grand County.

The petitioner believes that a review of the evidence presented in his Petition For Writ Of Certiorari represents the situation in Grand County and a review and rehearing of the decision by the Supreme Court is necessary for the protection of many citizens of Grand County. The public servants of Grand County are considering the current actions of the Supreme Court as further legitimizing their actions and this could cause great harm to the citizens of Grand County. We have tried all possible avenues trying to get justice and have reached a dead end. We pray that the Honorable Supreme Court can help.

Respectfully submitted,

ROQUE R. MORALES

## CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this Petition for Rehearing is submitted in good faith and not for delay. This Petition for Rehearing is restricted to the grounds set out in Rule 44.2.

_____

Roque R. Morales